



ELSEVIER

Available online at [www.sciencedirect.com](http://www.sciencedirect.com)

SCIENCE @ DIRECT®

Journal of Approximation Theory 135 (2005) 245–257

JOURNAL OF  
Approximation  
Theory

[www.elsevier.com/locate/jat](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/jat)

## The Polya algorithm in sequence spaces

J.M. Quesada<sup>a,\*</sup>, J. Fernández-Ochoa<sup>a</sup>, J. Martínez-Moreno<sup>a,1</sup>,  
J. Bustamante<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>*Departamento de Matemáticas, Universidad de Jaén, 23071 Jaén. Spain*

<sup>b</sup>*Facultad de Matemáticas, Universidad Autónoma de Puebla, Puebla. Mexico*

Received 27 January 2005; accepted 2 May 2005

---

### Abstract

In this paper we consider the problem of best approximation in  $\ell_p(\mathbb{N})$ ,  $1 < p \leq \infty$ . If  $h_p$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$  denotes the best  $\ell_p$ -approximation of the element  $h \in \ell_1(\mathbb{N})$  from a finite-dimensional affine subspace  $K$  of  $\ell_1(\mathbb{N})$ ,  $h \notin K$ , then  $\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} h_p = h_\infty^*$ , where  $h_\infty^*$  is a best uniform approximation of  $h$  from  $K$ , the so-called strict uniform approximation. Our aim is to give a complete description of the rate of convergence of  $\|h_p - h_\infty^*\|$  as  $p \rightarrow \infty$  by proving that there are constants  $L_1, L_2 > 0$  and  $0 \leq a \leq 1$  such that

$$L_1 a^p \leq p \|h_p - h_\infty^*\| \leq L_2 a^p,$$

for  $p$  large enough.

© 2005 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

*Keywords:* Best approximation; Sequence spaces; Strict uniform approximation; Rate of convergence; Polya algorithm

---

---

\* Corresponding author. Fax: 34 953212141.

*E-mail addresses:* [jquesada@ujaen.es](mailto:jquesada@ujaen.es) (J.M. Quesada), [jmmoreno@ujaen.es](mailto:jmmoreno@ujaen.es) (J. Martínez-Moreno), [jbusta@fcm.uap.mx](mailto:jbusta@fcm.uap.mx) (J. Bustamante).

<sup>1</sup> Partially supported by Junta de Andalucía, Research Groups FQM268, FQM178 and by Ministerio de Ciencia y Tecnología, Project BFM2003-05794.

**1. Introduction**

For  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , we consider the usual  $\ell_p(\mathbb{N})$  linear space of the sequences  $x = \{x(j)\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$  such that  $\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} |x(j)|^p < \infty$ , endowed with the  $p$ -norm

$$\|x\|_p = \left( \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} |x(j)|^p \right)^{1/p},$$

and the linear space  $\ell_\infty(\mathbb{N})$  of the bounded sequences in  $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$ , with the uniform norm

$$\|x\| = \|x\|_\infty = \sup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \{|x(j)|\}.$$

Note that, for all  $p > 1$ ,  $\ell_1(\mathbb{N}) \subset \ell_p(\mathbb{N}) \subset \ell_\infty(\mathbb{N})$ . Moreover, if  $x \in \ell_1(\mathbb{N})$  then  $\|x\| = \max_{j \in \mathbb{N}} |x(j)|$  and

$$\|x\| \leq \|x\|_p \leq \|x\|_1. \tag{1}$$

Let  $K \neq \emptyset$  be a subset of  $\ell_1(\mathbb{N})$ . For  $h \in \ell_1(\mathbb{N}) \setminus K$  and  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$  we say that  $h_p \in K$  is a best  $\ell_p$ -approximation of  $h$  from  $K$  if

$$\|h_p - h\|_p \leq \|f - h\|_p \quad \text{for all } f \in K.$$

If  $p = \infty$  we will say that  $h_\infty$  is a best uniform approximation of  $h$  from  $K$ . If  $K$  is a finite-dimensional linear subspace of  $\ell_1(\mathbb{N})$ , then the existence of  $h_p$  is guaranteed. Moreover, there exists a unique best  $\ell_p$ -approximation if  $1 < p < \infty$ . In general, the unicity of the best uniform approximation is not guaranteed. However, a unique ‘‘strict uniform approximation’’,  $h_\infty^*$ , can be defined [6]. The strict uniform approximation satisfies the next property. Let  $H$  denote the set of the best uniform approximation of  $h$  from  $K$ . For every  $g \in H$  we consider the sequence  $\tau(g)$  whose coordinates are given by  $|g(j) - h(j)|$  arranged in decreasing order. Then  $h_\infty^*$  is the only element in  $H$  which has  $\tau(h_\infty^*)$  minimal in the lexicographic ordering. This definition of strict uniform approximation extends the one given by Rice [9] when  $K$  is a linear subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

There are quite a few attempts to generalize Rice’s definition of strict best approximation when  $K$  is a finite linear subspace of  $C[a, b]$  or  $C_0(T)$ , the Banach space of all real-valued continuous functions  $f$  on  $T$  which vanish at infinity, endowed with the supremum norm, where  $T$  is a locally convex compact Hausdorff, (see e.g., [4,5,11,13]). The existence of the strict uniform approximation is related to the problem of constructing a continuous selection for the metric projection in  $C_0(T)$ . In [5] it is proved that the definition of the strict uniform approximation as the limit of the best  $L_p$ -approximation as  $p \rightarrow \infty$  (if it exists) provides a natural continuous selection in  $C_0(T)$ . The discovery of the connection between the convergence of the Polya algorithm and the existence of continuous selection is due to Sommer [11,12].

When  $K$  is an affine subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , the convergence of  $h_p$  to  $h_\infty^*$  was proved in [1]. In this context, the first result about the rate of convergence of  $\|h_p - h_\infty^*\|$  appears in [2]. In this paper it is showed that  $p \|h_p - h_\infty^*\|$  is bounded. Subsequently, in [7] the authors established necessary and sufficient conditions on  $K$  to get that  $p \|h_p - h_\infty^*\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $p \rightarrow \infty$

and in [8] it is proved that there are constants  $L_1, L_2 > 0$  and  $0 \leq a \leq 1$ , depending on  $K$ , such that

$$L_1 a^p \leq p \|h_p - h_\infty^*\| \leq L_2 a^p, \tag{2}$$

for all  $p$  large enough.

Throughout this paper  $K$  will be a finite-dimensional affine subspace of  $\ell_1(\mathbb{N})$ . We will assume that  $h = 0$  and  $0 \notin K$ . This involves no loss of generality since all relevant properties are translation invariant. In this context, it is also known, [3,6], that  $\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} h_p = h_\infty^*$ . In [3] the authors extend the result in [2] by proving that there exist  $M > 0$  and  $p_0 > 1$  such that

$$p \|h_p - h_\infty^*\| < M, \quad \text{for all } p \geq p_0.$$

Our aim is to give a complete description of the rate of convergence of  $\|h_p - h_\infty^*\|$  by generalizing the result (2) to our context of approximation in the space  $\ell_1(\mathbb{N})$ .

## 2. Notation and preliminary results

For  $J \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  we denote  $J^c = \mathbb{N} \setminus J$ . Moreover for  $v \in \ell_\infty(\mathbb{N})$  we define  $\|v\|_J = \sup_{j \in J} |v(j)|$ . Notice that  $\|v\|_{\mathbb{N}} = \|v\|$ . The italic letters  $h, u, v, w$  and  $z$  will be used to denote elements of  $\ell_p(\mathbb{N})$ .

Let  $K$  denote a finite-dimensional affine subspace of  $\ell_1(\mathbb{N})$ ,  $0 \notin K$ , and  $h_\infty^*$  ( $h_p, 1 < p < \infty$ ) be the strict uniform approximation (the best  $\ell_p$ -approximation) of  $0$  from  $K$ . Thus, we can write  $K = h_\infty^* + \mathcal{V}$ , where  $\mathcal{V}$  is a finite-dimensional linear subspace of  $\ell_1(\mathbb{N})$  of dimension  $m > 0$  ( $\dim(\mathcal{V}) = m$ ). We will assume that  $\|h_\infty^*\| = 1$  (this involves no loss of generality). Thus, from (1) and the definition of  $h_p$ , we obtain

$$\|h_p\| \leq \|h_p\|_p \leq \|h_\infty^*\|_p \leq \|h_\infty^*\|_1,$$

and also  $\|h_p - h_\infty^*\| \leq \|h_p\| + \|h_\infty^*\| \leq 2\|h_\infty^*\|_1$ .

Set  $J_0 := \{j \in \mathbb{N} : h_\infty^*(j) = 0\}$  and denote

$$\mathcal{V}_0 = \{v \in \mathcal{V} : v(j) = 0, \text{ for all } j \in \mathbb{N} \setminus J_0\}. \tag{3}$$

By means of an inductive procedure we define  $d_0 = 0$ , and for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\mathbb{N} \neq \cup_{l=0}^{n-1} J_l$ ,

$$d_n = \|h_\infty^*\|_{\mathbb{N} \setminus \cup_{l=0}^{n-1} J_l} \quad \text{and} \quad J_n = \{j \in \mathbb{N} : |h_\infty^*(j)| = d_n\}.$$

If  $\mathbb{N} = \cup_{l=0}^{n-1} J_l$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then we obtain a finite strictly decreasing sequence  $\{d_l\}_{l=1}^{n-1}$  and a finite family of finite disjoint sets  $\{J_l\}_{l=1}^{n-1}$ . In this case we will put  $d_n = 0$ . In the opposite case, we obtain a strictly decreasing sequence  $\{d_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  and a denumerable family of finite disjoint sets  $\{J_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that  $d_n \rightarrow 0$  and  $\cup_{l=0}^\infty J_l = \mathbb{N}$ .

For  $v \notin \mathcal{V}_0$ , define

$$r(v) = \min\{n \in \mathbb{N} : v(j) \neq 0, \text{ for some } j \in J_n\}. \tag{4}$$

If  $h_{p_i} \neq h_{\infty}^*$ , define

$$u_i = \frac{h_{p_i} - h_{\infty}^*}{\|h_{p_i} - h_{\infty}^*\|}. \tag{5}$$

Notice that  $u_i \in \mathcal{V}$ . Suppose that  $h_{p_i} \neq h_{\infty}^*$  for infinitely many  $p_i$ , with  $p_i \rightarrow \infty$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ . Since  $\|u_i\| = 1$  and  $\dim(\mathcal{V}) < \infty$ , we can assume, taking a subsequence if necessary, that

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} u_i = u \in \mathcal{V} \tag{6}$$

with  $\|u\| = 1$ . In that follows, this vector  $u$  will play an important role.

The following is a well known result (see for instance [10]).

**Theorem 1** (Characterization of the best  $\ell_p$ -approximation). *A point  $h_p \in \ell_p(\mathbb{N})$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ , is the best  $\ell_p$ -approximation of 0 from  $K$  if and only if*

$$\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} v(j) |h_p(j)|^{p-1} \operatorname{sgn}(h_p(j)) = 0, \quad \text{for all } v \in \mathcal{V}. \tag{7}$$

**Lemma 1.** *For  $p > 1$ , let  $z_p \in \ell_1(\mathbb{N})$  and  $z \in \ell_1(\mathbb{N})$  be such that  $\|z_p - z\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $p \rightarrow \infty$ . If  $z \neq 0$ , then for  $p$  sufficiently large,*

$$\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} z(j) z_p(j) |z_p(j)|^{p-2} > 0. \tag{8}$$

**Proof.** We can assume that  $\|z\| = 1$ . Write  $z_p = z + w_p$ , with  $w_p \in \ell_1(\mathbb{N})$  and  $\|w_p\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $p \rightarrow \infty$ . Let  $S = \{j \in \mathbb{N} : |z(j)| = 1\}$ . Put  $\gamma = \|z\|_{S^c} < 1$  and choose  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\gamma + \delta < 1$ .

For  $\varepsilon = \min\{\delta, 1 - \gamma - \delta, (\gamma + \delta)/\|z\|_1\}$ , there exists  $p' > 1$  such that for  $p > p'$ ,  $\|w_p\| < \varepsilon$  and  $\operatorname{sgn}(z_p(j)) = \operatorname{sgn}(z(j))$ , for all  $j \in S$ .

If  $j \in S$  and  $p > p'$ , then

$$|z_p(j)| = |z(j) + w_p(j)| \geq |z(j)| - |w_p(j)| \geq 1 - \|w_p\| > 1 - \varepsilon \geq \gamma + \delta.$$

On the other hand, if  $j \in S^c$  and  $p > p'$ , then

$$|z_p(j)| = |z(j) + w_p(j)| \leq |z(j)| + |w_p(j)| \leq \gamma + \|w_p\| < \gamma + \varepsilon \leq \gamma + \delta.$$

So, taking into account that  $z(j)z_p(j) \geq z(j)w_p(j)$ , we have for  $p > p'$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} z(j)z_p(j) |z_p(j)|^{p-2} &= \sum_{j \in S} |z_p(j)| |z_p(j)|^{p-2} + \sum_{j \in S^c} z(j)z_p(j) |z_p(j)|^{p-2} \\ &\geq \sum_{j \in S} |z_p(j)| |z_p(j)|^{p-2} + \sum_{j \in S^c} z(j)w_p(j) |z_p(j)|^{p-2} \\ &> (\gamma + \delta)^{p-2} \left( (\gamma + \delta) \operatorname{card}(S) - \|w_p\| \sum_{j \in S^c} |z(j)| \right) \\ &\geq (\gamma + \delta)^{p-2} \left( (\gamma + \delta) - \varepsilon \|z\|_1 \right) \geq 0. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

**Remark 1.** We stand out the fact that if the vector  $u$  is defined by (6), then  $u \notin \mathcal{V}_0$  (see (3)). In other case, applying (7) with  $v = u$  and  $p = p_i$ , we have

$$0 = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} u(j) |h_{p_i}(j)|^{p_i-1} \text{sgn}(h_{p_i}(j)) = \sum_{j \in J_0} u(j) |h_{p_i}(j)|^{p_i-1} \text{sgn}(h_{p_i}(j)).$$

Dividing the above equation by  $\|h_{p_i} - h_\infty^*\|^{p_i-1}$ , we obtain (recall that  $h_\infty^*(j) = 0$  for  $j \in J_0$ )

$$\sum_{j \in J_0} u(j) u_i(j) |u_i(j)|^{p_i-2} = 0.$$

From Lemma 1 we get a contradiction for  $i$  large enough.

**Lemma 2.** Let the linear space  $\mathcal{V}$  and the family  $\{J_n\}$  be given as above. There exist an integer  $r \geq 0$ , a strictly increasing sequence of integers  $\{\sigma(k)\}_{k=0}^r$ , with  $\sigma(0) = 0$ , and linear subspaces  $\mathcal{V}_k$  ( $0 \leq k \leq r$ ) of  $\mathcal{V}$  such that,  $\mathcal{V} = \bigoplus_{k=0}^r \mathcal{V}_k$  and if  $1 \leq k \leq r$  and  $v \in \mathcal{V}_k \setminus \{0\}$ , then  $v(j) = 0$  for all  $j \in \bigcup_{l=1}^{\sigma(k)-1} J_l$  and  $v(j) \neq 0$  for some  $j \in J_{\sigma(k)}$ .

**Proof.** If  $\dim(\mathcal{V}_0) = m$  (see (3)), we take  $\mathcal{V}_0 = \mathcal{V}$  and  $r = 0$ . In other case, put  $\sigma(0) = 0$  and suppose that we have constructed linear spaces  $\mathcal{V}_k$  and the corresponding sequence  $\{\sigma(k)\}$  for  $k = 0, 1, \dots, s$ , with the property described above. If  $\mathcal{V} = \bigoplus_{k=0}^s \mathcal{V}_k$ , then by taking  $r = s$  we conclude the proof. Otherwise, we write  $\mathcal{V} = \left(\bigoplus_{l=0}^s \mathcal{V}_l\right) \oplus \mathcal{W}_s$ , where  $\mathcal{W}_s = \mathcal{V} \cap \left(\bigoplus_{l=0}^s \mathcal{V}_l\right)^\perp$ . Set

$$\mathcal{U}_{s+1} = \{v \in \mathcal{W}_s : v(j) = 0, \text{ for all } j \in \bigcup_{l=1}^{\sigma(s)} J_l\}$$

and put  $\sigma(s+1) := \min\{n \in \mathbb{N} : v(j) \neq 0 \text{ for some } v \in \mathcal{U}_{s+1} \text{ and some } j \in J_n\}$ . Note that  $\sigma(s+1) > \sigma(s)$ . Now, we take  $\mathcal{V}_{s+1}$  as the linear space generated by a family  $\mathcal{B}$  in  $\mathcal{U}_{s+1}$  such that  $\mathcal{B}|_{J_{\sigma(s+1)}}$  is a basis of  $\mathcal{U}_{s+1}|_{J_{\sigma(s+1)}}$ . Finally, observe that this involves a finite inductive procedure.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.** If  $v \notin \mathcal{V}_0$ , then there are  $j, j' \in J_{r(v)}$  (see (4)) such that  $v(j)h_\infty^*(j) > 0$  and  $v(j')h_\infty^*(j') < 0$ .

**Proof.** Suppose the contrary. We can assume that  $\|v\| = 1$  and  $v(j)h_\infty^*(j) \leq 0$  for all  $j \in J_{r(v)}$  (if this is not the case, we take the vector  $-v$  in place of  $v$ ).

Fix a positive  $\lambda$  such that  $\lambda < d_{r(v)} - d_{r(v)+1} \leq d_{r(v)}$  and consider the vector  $\tilde{h} = h_\infty^* + \lambda v \in K$ . If  $r(v) > 1$  and  $j \in \bigcup_{l=1}^{r(v)-1} J_l$ , then  $v(j) = 0$  and so  $\tilde{h}(j) = h_\infty^*(j)$ . On the other hand, for  $j \in J_{r(v)}$ , we have

$$|\tilde{h}(j)| = |h_\infty^*(j)| - \lambda |v(j)| \leq |h_\infty^*(j)|.$$

Notice that the last inequality is strict for some  $j \in J_{r(v)}$ . Finally, if  $j \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \bigcup_{l=1}^{r(v)} J_l$ , then

$$|\tilde{h}(j)| \leq |h_\infty^*(j)| + \lambda |v(j)| \leq d_{r(v)+1} + \lambda < d_{r(v)}.$$

So, the vector  $\tilde{h}$  is a best uniform approximation of 0 from  $K$  that contradicts the definition of  $h_\infty^*$ .  $\square$

### 3. Rate of convergence

The next Theorem was proved in [3]. However, we present here a simpler proof whose greater interest is that we do not use the fact that  $h_p \rightarrow h_\infty^*$  to conclude that the sequence  $p\|h_p - h_\infty^*\|$  is bounded. The convergence of  $h_p$  to  $h_\infty^*$  (as  $p \rightarrow \infty$ ) follows as an immediate consequence of our result.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $K$  be a finite-dimensional affine subspace of  $\ell_1(\mathbb{N})$ ,  $0 \notin K$ . Let  $h_p$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ , denote the best  $\ell_p$ -approximation of 0 from  $K$  and let  $h_\infty^*$  be the strict uniform approximation of 0 from  $K$ . Then there exist positive constants  $M$  and  $C$  such that, for  $p > C$ ,*

$$p \|h_p - h_\infty^*\| \leq M.$$

**Proof.** It is sufficient to prove that  $\liminf p_i \|h_{p_i} - h_\infty^*\| < \infty$  for every increasing sequence  $p_i \in (1, \infty)$  such that  $h_{p_i} \neq h_\infty^*$ ,  $p_i \rightarrow \infty$ , and  $u_i \rightarrow u$  (see (5)) as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ . From Remark 1 we know that  $u \notin \mathcal{V}_0$ , thus the integer  $r(u)$  is well defined (see (4)). From Lemma 3 there exists  $j_0 \in J_{r(u)}$  such that  $u(j_0)h_\infty^*(j_0) > 0$ . Since

$$p_i \|h_{p_i} - h_\infty^*\| = p_i |h_{p_i}(j_0) - h_\infty^*(j_0)| \frac{\|h_{p_i} - h_\infty^*\|}{|h_{p_i}(j_0) - h_\infty^*(j_0)|},$$

and

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|h_{p_i} - h_\infty^*\|}{|h_{p_i}(j_0) - h_\infty^*(j_0)|} = \frac{1}{|u(j_0)|},$$

it is sufficient to prove that the sequence  $p_i |h_{p_i}(j_0) - h_\infty^*(j_0)|$  is bounded.

We need some notation. For each  $i$  let  $\Gamma_i$  be the finite set of indices  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $|h_{p_i}(j)| > d_{r(u)}$  and  $u(j) \neq 0$ . Moreover, define

$$\gamma = (d_{r(u)} - d_{r(u)+1}) / (2\|h_\infty^*\|_1).$$

Since  $J_{r(u)}$  is a finite set and  $u_i \rightarrow u$ , there exists  $N$  such that, for  $i > N$ ,  $\|u_i - u\| < \gamma$  and  $\text{sgn}(u_i(j)) = \text{sgn}(u(j))$ , for all  $j \in J_{r(u)}$  such that  $u(j) \neq 0$ .

If  $i > N$ , then  $\text{sgn}(h_\infty^*(j_0)) = \text{sgn}(u(j_0)) = \text{sgn}(u_i(j_0)) = \text{sgn}(h_{p_i}(j_0) - h_\infty^*(j_0))$ . Therefore  $|h_{p_i}(j_0)| > |h_\infty^*(j_0)| = d_{r(u)}$  and  $\Gamma_i \neq \emptyset$ . Moreover, if  $j \in \Gamma_i \cap J_{r(u)}$ , then  $\text{sgn}(u(j)) = \text{sgn}(u_i(j)) = \text{sgn}(h_{p_i}(j) - h_\infty^*(j)) = \text{sgn}(h_{p_i}(j))$ .

On the other hand if  $j \in \Gamma_i \cap J_{r(u)}^c$ , then  $\text{sgn}(u_i(j)) = \text{sgn}(u(j))$ . Indeed, if  $j \in \Gamma_i \cap J_{r(u)}^c$ , then  $|h_\infty^*(j)| \leq d_{r(u)+1}$  and then  $|h_{p_i}(j) - h_\infty^*(j)| > d_{r(u)} - d_{r(u)+1}$ . If  $\text{sgn}(u_i(j)) \neq \text{sgn}(u(j))$ , then

$$\|u_i - u\| \geq |u_i(j) - u(j)| \geq |u_i(j)| = \frac{|h_{p_i}(j) - h_\infty^*(j)|}{\|h_{p_i} - h_\infty^*\|} > \frac{d_{r(u)} - d_{r(u)+1}}{2\|h_\infty^*\|_1} = \gamma$$

and we arrive to a contradiction.

Now for  $i > N$  (we use below (7) with  $v = u$  and  $p = p_i$ )

$$\begin{aligned} & |u(j_0)| \left( 1 + (p_i - 1) \frac{|h_{p_i}(j_0) - h_\infty^*(j_0)|}{d_{r(u)}} \right) \\ & \leq |u(j_0)| \left( 1 + \frac{h_{p_i}(j_0) - h_\infty^*(j_0)}{h_\infty^*(j_0)} \right)^{p_i-1} \leq |u(j_0)| \left| \frac{h_{p_i}(j_0)}{d_{r(u)}} \right|^{p_i-1} \\ & \leq \sum_{j \in \Gamma_i} |u(j)| \left| \frac{h_{p_i}(j)}{d_{r(u)}} \right|^{p_i-1} = \sum_{j \in \Gamma_i} u(j) \left| \frac{h_{p_i}(j)}{d_{r(u)}} \right|^{p_i-1} \operatorname{sgn}(h_{p_i}(j)) \\ & = - \sum_{j \in \Gamma_i^c} u(j) \left| \frac{h_{p_i}(j)}{d_{r(u)}} \right|^{p_i-1} \operatorname{sgn}(h_{p_i}(j)) \leq \|u\|_1. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, for  $i > N$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & p_i |h_{p_i}(j_0) - h_\infty^*(j_0)| \\ & \leq |u(j_0)| |h_{p_i}(j_0) - h_\infty^*(j_0)| + d_{r(u)} |u(j_0)| \left( 1 + (p_i - 1) \frac{|h_{p_i}(j_0) - h_\infty^*(j_0)|}{d_{r(u)}} \right) \\ & \leq |u(j_0)| \|h_{p_i} - h_\infty^*\| + d_{r(u)} \|u\|_1 \leq 2|u(j_0)| \|h_\infty^*\|_1 + d_{r(u)} \|u\|_1. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

**Corollary 1.** *If  $K, h_p$  and  $h_\infty^*$  are given as in Theorem (2), then*

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} h_p = h_\infty^*.$$

**Theorem 3.** *If  $K, h_p$  and  $h_\infty^*$  are given as in Theorem 2, then  $p \|h_p - h_\infty^*\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $p \rightarrow \infty$  if and only if, for all  $1 \leq k \leq r$  and every  $v \in \mathcal{V}_k$ ,*

$$\sum_{j \in J_{\sigma(k)}} v(j) \operatorname{sgn}(h_\infty^*(j)) = 0, \tag{9}$$

where the spaces  $\mathcal{V}_k$  ( $1 \leq k \leq r$ ) are as in Lemma 2.

**Proof.** ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Let  $v$  be a vector in  $\mathcal{V}_k$ . Since  $J_{\sigma(k)}$  is finite and  $h_p \rightarrow h_\infty^*$  as  $p \rightarrow \infty$ , there exists  $N$  such that, for  $p > N$ ,  $\|h_p - h_\infty^*\| < \frac{1}{2}(d_{\sigma(k)} - d_{\sigma(k)+1})$  and  $\operatorname{sgn}(h_p(j)) = \operatorname{sgn}(h_\infty^*(j))$  for all  $j \in J_{\sigma(k)}$ . Thus, if  $j \in J_{\sigma(k)}$ , then

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{h_p(j)}{d_{\sigma(k)}} \right|^{p-1} = \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \left( 1 + \frac{h_p(j) - h_\infty^*(j)}{h_\infty^*(j)} \right)^{p-1} = 1,$$

because  $\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} p (h_p(j) - h_\infty^*(j)) = 0$ . On the other hand, if  $\Omega_k = J_0 \cup (\cup_{l > \sigma(k)} J_l)$  and  $p > N$ , then

$$\|h_p\|_{\Omega_k} \leq \|h_\infty^*\|_{\Omega_k} + \|h_p - h_\infty^*\|_{\Omega_k} < \frac{1}{2}(d_{\sigma(k)} + d_{\sigma(k)+1}) < d_{\sigma(k)}.$$

Applying (7) to the vector  $v$  and dividing by  $d_{\sigma(k)}^{p-1}$ , we have

$$\sum_{j \in J_{\sigma(k)}} v(j) \left| \frac{h_p(j)}{d_{\sigma(k)}} \right|^{p-1} \operatorname{sgn}(h_{\infty}^*(j)) + \sum_{j \in \Omega_k} v(j) \left| \frac{h_p(j)}{d_{\sigma(k)}} \right|^{p-1} \operatorname{sgn}(h_p(j)) = 0. \quad (10)$$

So, letting  $p \rightarrow \infty$  we obtain (9).

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Suppose that  $p \|h_p - h_{\infty}^*\|$  does not converge to 0 as  $p \rightarrow \infty$ . This is just equivalent to the existence of a sequence  $p_i \rightarrow \infty$  such that  $p_i \|h_{p_i} - h_{\infty}^*\| \rightarrow \mu > 0$ . Consider the vectors  $u_i$  defined as in (5) and let  $u$  be its corresponding vector limit (6). Recall that  $u \notin \mathcal{V}_0$ . We obtain an equation similar to (10) by applying (7), con  $v = u$  and  $p = p_i$ , and dividing by  $d_{r(u)}^{p-1}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j \in J_{r(u)}} u(j) \left| \frac{h_{p_i}(j)}{d_{r(u)}} \right|^{p_i-1} \operatorname{sgn}(h_{\infty}^*(j)) \\ & + \sum_{j \in J_{r(u)}^c} u(j) \left| \frac{h_{p_i}(j)}{d_{r(u)}} \right|^{p_i-1} \operatorname{sgn}(h_{p_i}(j)) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

In this case, for  $j \in J_{r(u)}$ ,

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} p_i (h_{p_i}(j) - h_{\infty}^*(j)) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} p_i \|h_{p_i} - h_{\infty}^*\| \frac{h_{p_i}(j) - h_{\infty}^*(j)}{\|h_{p_i} - h_{\infty}^*\|} = \mu u(j).$$

So, taking limits in (11) and keeping in mind that  $\alpha e^{\beta \alpha} > \alpha$  for all  $\alpha \neq 0$  and  $\beta > 0$ , we obtain,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \sum_{j \in J_{r(u)}} u(j) e^{\mu u(j)/h_{\infty}^*(j)} \operatorname{sgn}(h_{\infty}^*(j)) \\ &= \sum_{j \in J_{r(u)}} u(j) \operatorname{sgn}(h_{\infty}^*(j)) e^{(\mu/d_{r(u)})u(j) \operatorname{sgn}(h_{\infty}^*(j))} > \sum_{j \in J_{r(u)}} u(j) \operatorname{sgn}(h_{\infty}^*(j)). \end{aligned}$$

Then (9) does not hold for a vector  $v \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \mathcal{V}_0$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 4.** *If  $K, h_p$  and  $h_{\infty}^*$  are given as in Theorem 2, then there exists  $p_0 > 1$  such that  $h_p = h_{\infty}^*$  for all  $p > p_0$  if and only if, for all  $v \in \mathcal{V}$  and all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,*

$$\sum_{j \in J_n} v(j) \operatorname{sgn}(h_{\infty}^*(j)) = 0. \quad (12)$$

**Proof.** By Theorem 1 we have  $h_p = h_{\infty}^*$  for all  $p > p_0$  if and only if

$$\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} v(j) |h_{\infty}^*(j)|^{p-1} \operatorname{sgn}(h_{\infty}^*(j)) = 0,$$

for all  $v \in \mathcal{V}$ . Since  $h_{\infty}^*(j) = 0$  for  $j \in J_0$ , we can write the above equation as

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} d_n^{p-1} \sum_{j \in J_n} v(j) \operatorname{sgn}(h_{\infty}^*(j)) = 0. \quad (13)$$



If (12) holds then (13) follows trivially. On the other hand, if (13) is true, then dividing the last equation by  $d_i^{p-1}$ , for  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ , respectively, and taking limits as  $p \rightarrow \infty$  we obtain (12) by means of an inductive procedure.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.** *There exists  $M > 0$  such that, for  $p$  large enough,*

$$\|h_p - h_\infty^*\|_{\hat{J}} \leq \|h_p - h_\infty^*\| \leq M \|h_p - h_\infty^*\|_{\hat{J}}, \tag{14}$$

where  $\hat{J} = \cup_{k=1}^r J_{\sigma(k)}$ .

**Proof.** Note that the first inequality in (14) is obvious. For the second, suppose, to the contrary, that there exists a sequence  $p_i \rightarrow \infty$ , with  $h_{p_i} \neq h_\infty^*$ , such that

$$\frac{\|h_{p_i} - h_\infty^*\|_{\hat{J}}}{\|h_{p_i} - h_\infty^*\|} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } i \rightarrow \infty. \tag{15}$$

Consider for this sequence the vectors  $u_i$  as in (5) and its corresponding vector limit  $u$ . From (15) we conclude that  $u(j) = 0$  for all  $j \in \hat{J}$ . Hence  $u \in \mathcal{V}_0$ . A contradiction.  $\square$

The inequalities in (14) show that the rate of convergence of  $\|h_p - h_\infty^*\|$  is just determined by the set of indices  $\hat{J} = \cup_{k=1}^r J_{\sigma(k)}$ .

### 4. The main result

Let  $\mathcal{W}_0 = \oplus_{k=1}^r \mathcal{V}_k$ ,  $m_0 = \dim(\mathcal{W}_0)$ ,  $\mathcal{B} = \{v_1, \dots, v_{m_0}\}$  be a basis of  $\mathcal{W}_0$  and  $\mathbf{I} = \{1, \dots, m_0\}$ , where  $\mathcal{V}_k$  are the linear subspaces of  $\mathcal{V}$  given in Lemma 2. We assume that if  $i \in \mathbf{I}$ , then  $v_i \in \mathcal{V}_k$  for some  $k \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ . Let  $\{I_k\}_{k=1}^r$  be the partition of  $\mathbf{I}$  given by  $I_k = \{i \in \mathbf{I} : v_i \in \mathcal{V}_k\}$  and put  $m_k = \text{card}(I_k)$ .

Given any vector  $v \in \mathcal{V}$  there are two unique vectors  $\Lambda_v = (\lambda_v(i))_{i \in \mathbf{I}} \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbf{I}}$  and  $w_v \in \mathcal{V}_0$  such that

$$v = \sum_{i \in \mathbf{I}} \lambda_v(i) v_i + w_v.$$

Since  $w_v(j) = 0$  for all  $j \in \hat{J}$  (see (3)),  $\|\Lambda_v\| := \max_{i \in \mathbf{I}} |\lambda_v(i)|$  is a norm on  $\mathcal{V}|_{\hat{J}}$ . So, by the equivalence of norms in  $\mathcal{V}|_{\hat{J}}$ , we have

$$M_1 \|\Lambda_v\| \leq \|v\|_{\hat{J}} \leq M_2 \|\Lambda_v\|, \tag{16}$$

for some constants  $M_1, M_2 > 0$ .

For  $1 \leq k \leq r$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we define

$$\Sigma(n, k) = \max_{i \in I_k} \left| \sum_{j \in J_n} v_i(j) \text{sgn}(h_\infty^*(j)) \right| \text{ and } \eta(k) = \min \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \Sigma(n, k) \neq 0 \right\},$$

where  $\eta(k)$  is assumed to be 0 if  $\Sigma(n, k) = 0$ , for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Finally, let  $a$  be the real number given by

$$a = \max_{1 \leq k \leq r} d_{\eta(k)} / d_{\sigma(k)}. \tag{17}$$

Since  $v_i(j) = 0$  for all  $j \in \cup_{l=1}^{\sigma(k)-1} J_l$  for  $i \in I_k$ , we have  $\eta(k) \geq \sigma(k)$  and so  $0 \leq a \leq 1$ .

In what follows, if  $A$  is a matrix, we will denote by  $A^T$  the transpose matrix of  $A$  and by  $\|A\|$  the row-sum norm of  $A$ .

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $K$  be a finite-dimensional affine subspace of  $\ell_1(\mathbb{N})$ ,  $0 \notin K$ . Let  $h_p$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ , denote the best  $\ell_p$ -approximation of 0 from  $K$  and let  $h_\infty^*$  be the strict uniform approximation of 0 from  $K$ . Then there are positive constants  $L_1, L_2$  and  $p_0 \geq 1$  such that, for  $p > p_0$ ,*

$$L_1 a^p \leq p \|h_p - h_\infty^*\| \leq L_2 a^p, \tag{18}$$

where  $a$  is the real number defined in (17).

**Proof.** If  $h_p = h_\infty^*$  for all  $p$  large enough then, by Theorem 4,  $\Sigma(n, k) = 0$ , for all  $n$  and all  $k$ . Thus  $a = 0$  and (18) holds. On the other hand, if  $\eta(k) = \sigma(k)$  for some  $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$ , then  $a = 1$  and (18) follows from Theorems 2 and 3. Therefore, we assume  $\eta(k) > \sigma(k)$ , all  $k$ . This implies that  $0 < a < 1$  and (see Theorem 3)  $p \|h_p - h_\infty^*\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $p \rightarrow \infty$ .

Set  $\eta = \max\{\eta(k) : d_{\eta(k)} / d_{\sigma(k)} = a\}$ ,  $\mathbf{n} = \max\{\eta, r\}$  and  $\mathbf{J} = \cup_{i=1}^{\mathbf{n}} J_i$ .

Let  $\Lambda_p = (\lambda_p(i))_{i \in \mathbf{I}}$  be the unique vector in  $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbf{I}}$  such that

$$h_p = h_\infty^* + \sum_{i \in \mathbf{I}} \lambda_p(i) v_i + w_p, \tag{19}$$

with  $w_p \in \mathcal{V}_0$ . Taking into account (14) and (16), we deduce that

$$\tilde{M}_1 \|\Lambda_p\| \leq \|h_p - h_\infty^*\| \leq \tilde{M}_2 \|\Lambda_p\|, \tag{20}$$

for some constants  $\tilde{M}_1, \tilde{M}_2 > 0$ . Hence the rate of convergence of  $\|h_p - h_\infty^*\|$  will be also determined by the norm of the vector  $\Lambda_p$ .

Since  $\mathbf{J}$  is a finite set and  $h_p \rightarrow h_\infty^*$ , there exists  $p_0 \geq 1$  such that for  $p > p_0$ ,

$$2 \|h_p - h_\infty^*\| < d_{\mathbf{n}} - d_{\mathbf{n}+1} \tag{21}$$

and  $\text{sgn}(h_p(j)) = \text{sgn}(h_\infty^*(j))$  for all  $j \in \mathbf{J}$ .

Thus for  $p > p_0$ , taking into account that  $w_p(j) = 0$  for all  $j \in \mathbf{J}$  and applying the Taylor's formula of order 1 to the function  $\varphi(z) = (1+z)^{p-1}$  about  $z = 0$ , we obtain for  $j \in J_l$  with  $1 \leq l \leq \mathbf{n}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{h_p(j)}{d_l} \right|^{p-1} &= \left( \frac{h_p(j)}{h_\infty^*(j)} \right)^{p-1} = \left( 1 + \sum_{i \in \mathbf{I}} \frac{\lambda_p(i) v_i(j)}{h_\infty^*(j)} \right)^{p-1} \\ &= 1 + \frac{1}{h_\infty^*(j)} (p-1) \sum_{i \in \mathbf{I}} \lambda_p(i) v_i(j) + R_p(j), \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

with  $R_p(j) = o(p\|\Lambda_p\|)$  as  $p \rightarrow \infty$ , because  $p \|h_p - h_\infty^*\| \rightarrow 0$  and by (20) that is just equivalent to  $p \|\Lambda_p\| \rightarrow 0$ .

Putting  $v = v_i, i \in \mathbf{I}$ , in (7) we obtain, for  $p$  large,

$$\sum_{j \in \mathbf{J}} v_i(j) |h_p(j)|^{p-1} \operatorname{sgn}(h_\infty^*(j)) + \sum_{j \in \mathbf{J}^c} v_i(j) |h_p(j)|^{p-1} \operatorname{sgn}(h_p(j)) = 0$$

$$\forall i \in \mathbf{I}. \tag{23}$$

This nonlinear system can be written as

$$M H_p^T + K_p^T = 0, \tag{24}$$

where  $M$  is the matrix  $M = (v_i(j))_{(i,j) \in \mathbf{I} \times \mathbf{J}}$  and  $H_p, K_p$  denote the vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbf{J}}$  and  $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbf{I}}$ , respectively, whose components are given by

$$H_p(j) = |h_p(j)|^{p-1} \operatorname{sgn}(h_\infty^*(j)), \quad j \in \mathbf{J}$$

$$K_p(i) = \sum_{j \in \mathbf{J}^c} v_i(j) |h_p(j)|^{p-1} \operatorname{sgn}(h_p(j)), \quad i \in \mathbf{I}.$$

Taking into account (22) we can express the vector  $H_p^T$  like

$$H_p^T = \Delta_{\mathbf{J}}^{p-1} \Upsilon^T + (p-1) \Delta_{\mathbf{J}}^{p-2} M^T \Lambda_p^T + \Delta_{\mathbf{J}}^{p-1} R_p^T,$$

where  $\Upsilon$  and  $R_p$  are the vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbf{J}}$  given by  $\Upsilon := (\operatorname{sgn}(h_\infty^*(j)))_{j \in \mathbf{J}}$  and  $R_p := (R_p(j) \operatorname{sgn}(h_\infty^*(j)))_{j \in \mathbf{J}}$ , and  $\Delta_{\mathbf{J}} := (\delta_{ij})_{(i,j) \in \mathbf{J} \times \mathbf{J}}$  is the diagonal matrix such that  $\delta_{jj} = d_j$  if  $j \in J_l, 1 \leq l \leq \mathbf{n}$ . Substituting in (24) we obtain the system

$$M \left( \Delta_{\mathbf{J}}^{p-1} \Upsilon^T + (p-1) \Delta_{\mathbf{J}}^{p-2} M^T \Lambda_p^T + \Delta_{\mathbf{J}}^{p-2} R_p^T \right) + K_p^T = 0. \tag{25}$$

Let  $\Delta_{\mathbf{I}} = (\tilde{\delta}_{ij})_{(i,j) \in \mathbf{I} \times \mathbf{I}}$  be the diagonal matrix such that  $\tilde{\delta}_{ii} = d_{\sigma(k)}$  if  $i \in I_k, 1 \leq k \leq r$ .

Multiplying (25) by  $\Delta_{\mathbf{I}}^{-p+2} := (\Delta_{\mathbf{I}}^{-1})^{p-2}$  we have

$$(p-1) \Delta_{\mathbf{I}}^{-p+2} M \Delta_{\mathbf{J}}^{p-2} M^T \Lambda_p^T$$

$$= -\Delta_{\mathbf{I}}^{-p+2} M \Delta_{\mathbf{J}}^{p-1} \Upsilon^T - \Delta_{\mathbf{I}}^{-p+2} M \Delta_{\mathbf{J}}^{p-2} R_p^T - \Delta_{\mathbf{I}}^{-p+2} K_p^T. \tag{26}$$

Observe that the multiplication by  $\Delta_{\mathbf{I}}^{-p+2}$  is equivalent to divide by  $d_{\sigma(k)}^{p-2}$  each of equations in (23) obtained for  $i \in I_k$ . This operation is justified because  $v_i(j) = 0$  for all  $j \in J_l$  if  $j < \sigma(k)$ .

Next we study each of the terms in the former system. Let us partition  $M$  into blocks  $M_{k,l}, k = 1, \dots, r, l = 1, \dots, \mathbf{n}$ , where  $M_{k,l} = (v_i(j))_{(i,j) \in I_k \times J_l}$ . An easy computation shows that

$$A(p) := \Delta_{\mathbf{I}}^{-p+2} M \Delta_{\mathbf{J}}^{p-2} M^T = (A_{k,s}(p))_{k=1, \dots, r, s=1, \dots, \mathbf{n}},$$

where  $A_{k,s}(p)$  is the matrix of order  $m_k \times m_s$  given by

$$A_{k,s}(p) = \sum_{l=1}^{\mathbf{n}} \left( \frac{d_l}{d_{\sigma(k)}} \right)^{p-2} M_{k,l} M_{s,l}^T.$$

Since  $M_{k,l}$  is a null matrix if  $l < \sigma(k)$ , and  $d_l < d_{\sigma(k)}$  if  $l > \sigma(k)$ , then

$$A_{k,s} := \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} A_{k,s}(p) = M_{k,\sigma(k)} M_{s,\sigma(k)}^T.$$

Moreover, since  $M_{s,\sigma(k)}$  is also a null matrix if  $s > k$ , we conclude that  $A := \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} A(p)$  is a lower triangular matrix by blocks and so

$$\det(A) = \prod_{k=1}^r \det \left( M_{k,\sigma(k)} M_{k,\sigma(k)}^T \right) \neq 0.$$

In particular we have proved that there exists  $p_1 \geq p_0$  such that the matrix  $A(p)$  is non singular for  $p \geq p_1$ .

Analogously, denoting by  $B_p = -\Delta_{\mathbf{I}}^{-p+2} M \Delta_{\mathbf{J}}^{p-1} \Upsilon^T$  it is easy to check that

$$B_p(i) = -d_{\sigma(k)} \sum_{l=\sigma(k)}^{\mathbf{n}} \left( \frac{d_l}{d_{\sigma(k)}} \right)^{p-1} \sum_{j \in J_l} v_i(j) \operatorname{sgn}(h_{\infty}^*(j)) \quad \text{for } i \in I_k, \quad 1 \leq k \leq r.$$

The definition of  $a$  implies that if  $d_l/d_{\sigma(k)} > a$  then  $\sum_{j \in J_l} v_i(j) \operatorname{sgn}(h_{\infty}^*(j)) = 0$  for all  $i \in I_k$ . On the other hand, the selection of  $\mathbf{n}$  implies that there is  $k_0 \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$  and  $l_0 = \eta(k_0)$  such that  $k_0 + 1 \leq l_0 \leq \mathbf{n}$ ,  $d_{l_0}/d_{\sigma(k_0)} = a$  and  $\Sigma(l_0, k_0) = \max_{i \in I_{k_0}} \left| \sum_{j \in J_{l_0}} v_i(j) \operatorname{sgn}(h_{\infty}^*(j)) \right| \neq 0$ . Therefore,

$$0 < b := \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \|B_p\|/a^p < \infty.$$

Similarly, writing  $C_p = -\Delta_{\mathbf{I}}^{-p+2} M \Delta_{\mathbf{J}}^{p-2} R_p^T$  we obtain, for  $i \in I_k, 1 \leq k \leq r$ ,

$$C_p(i) = -d_{\sigma(k)} \sum_{l=\sigma(k)}^{\mathbf{n}} \left( \frac{d_l}{d_{\sigma(k)}} \right)^{p-1} \sum_{j \in J_l} v_i(j) R_p(j) \operatorname{sgn}(h_{\infty}^*(j))$$

and then  $\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|C_p\|}{p \| \Lambda_p \|} = 0$ .

Finally, denoting  $D_p = -\Delta_{\mathbf{I}}^{-p+2} K_p^T$ , we have

$$D_p(i) = \sum_{j \in \mathbf{J}^c} v_i(j) \left| \frac{h_p(j)}{d_{\sigma(k)}} \right|^{p-1} \operatorname{sgn}(h_p(j)) \quad \text{for } i \in I_k, \quad 1 \leq k \leq r.$$

Since, for  $p > p_1$  (see (21)),

$$\|h_p\|_{\mathbf{J}^c} \leq \|h_{\infty}^*\|_{\mathbf{J}^c} + \|h_p - h_{\infty}^*\|_{\mathbf{J}^c} \leq d_{\mathbf{n}+1} + \|h_p - h_{\infty}^*\| < \frac{1}{2}(d_{\mathbf{n}} + d_{\mathbf{n}+1}) < d_{\mathbf{n}},$$

and, from the selection of  $\mathbf{n}$ ,  $d_{\mathbf{n}}/d_{\sigma(k)} \leq a$ , for all  $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$ , we conclude that  $\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \|D_p\|/a^p = 0$ .

With the notation introduced in the previous paragraphs we can write the system (26) as

$$(p - 1)A(p)\Lambda_p^T = B_p + C_p + D_p,$$

and so

$$(p - 1)\|\Lambda_p\| = \|A(p)^{-1} (B_p + C_p + D_p)\| \leq \|A(p)^{-1}\| (\|B_p\| + \|C_p\| + \|D_p\|).$$

Therefore,

$$(p - 1)\|\Lambda_p\| \left(1 - \frac{\|A(p)^{-1}\| \|C_p\|}{(p - 1)\|\Lambda_p\|}\right) \leq \|A(p)^{-1}\| \|B_p\| + \|A(p)^{-1}\| \|D_p\|.$$

Dividing the above inequality by  $a^p$  and taking limits as  $p \rightarrow \infty$  we have  $\limsup_{p \rightarrow \infty} p\|\Lambda_p\|/a^p \leq \|A^{-1}\| b$ .

In similar way,

$$\|B_p\| \leq (p - 1)\|A(p)\| \|\Lambda_p\| \left(1 + \frac{\|C_p\|}{(p - 1)\|A(p)\| \|\Lambda_p\|}\right) + \|D_p\|$$

and therefore  $\liminf_{p \rightarrow \infty} p\|\Lambda_p\|/a^p \geq b/\|A\|$ . From the above inequalities there exists  $p_2 > p_1$  such that, for  $p > p_2$ ,

$$\frac{b}{\|A\|} \leq \frac{p\|\Lambda_p\|}{a^p} \leq b\|A^{-1}\|. \tag{27}$$

Finally, taking into account (20) we conclude the proof.  $\square$

### References

- [1] J. Descloux, Approximation in  $L_p$  and Chebychev approximation, *J. Soc. Ind. Appl. Math.* 11 (1963) 1017–1026.
- [2] A. Egger, R. Huotari, Rate of convergence of the discrete Polya algorithm, *J. Approx. Theory* 60 (1990) 24–30.
- [3] A. Egger, R. Huotari, Polya properties in sequence spaces, *Approx. Theory Appl.* 7 (3) (1991) 77–85.
- [4] W. Li, Continuous Selections for Metric Projections and Interpolating Subspaces, Verlag Peter Lang, Frankfurt, 1991.
- [5] W. Li, Convergence of Polya algorithm and continuous metric selections in space of continuous functions, *J. Approx. Theory* 80 (2) (1995) 164–179.
- [6] M. Marano, Strict approximation on closed convex sets, *Approx. Theory Appl.* 6 (1990) 99–109.
- [7] M. Marano, J. Navas, The linear discrete Pólya algorithm, *Appl. Math. Lett.* 8 (6) (1995) 25–28.
- [8] J.M. Quesada, J. Navas, Rate of convergence of the linear discrete Polya algorithm, *J. Approx. Theory* 110 (2001) 109–119.
- [9] J.R. Rice, *The Approximation of Functions, Nonlinear and Multivariate Theory*, vol. 2, Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., Reading, MA, 1969.
- [10] I. Singer, *Best Approximation in Normed Linear Spaces by Elements of Linear Subspaces*, Springer, Berlin, 1970.
- [11] M. Sommer, Continuous selections and convergence of best  $L_p$ -approximations in subspaces of spline functions, *Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim.* 6 (1983) 213–234.
- [12] M. Sommer,  $L_p$ -approximation and Chebyshev approximations in subspaces of spline functions, in: *Approximation and Optimization in Mathematical Physics* vol. 27, Verlag Peter Lang, Frankfurt, 1983, pp. 105–139.
- [13] V. Stover, *The strict approximation and continuous selection for the metric projection*, Ph.D. Dissertation, Department Mathematics, University of California, San Diego, 1981.